1			
2			
3			
4		TESTIMONY OF JACQUELINE R. CHERRY	
5		FOR	
6 7		THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
8			
9 10		DOCKET NO. 2002-2-E	
11	IN RE: SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY		
12 13			
14	Q.	PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS	
15		AND POSITION WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH	
16		CAROLINA?	
17	A.	My name is Jacqueline R. Cherry. My business address is 101 Executive	
18		Center Drive, Columbia, South Carolina. I am employed by the Public	
19		Service Commission of South Carolina, Audit Department, as an auditor.	
20	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND	
21		EXPERIENCE.	
22	A.	I received a B. S. Degree in Business Administration, with a major in	
23		Accounting from Johnson C. Smith University in 1976. I was employed by	
24		this Commission in February 1979, and have participated in cases involving	
25		gas, electric, telephone, water and wastewater utilities.	
26	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS	
27		PROCEEDING?	
28	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the results of the Audit Staff's	
29		examination of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's Fuel Adjustment	
30		Clause operation for the period March 2001 through April 2002. The findings	

28

1		of the examination are contained in the Audit Department's section of the			
2		Commission Staff Report.			
3	Q.	WHAT	WAS THE SCOPE OF YOUR AUDIT?		
4	A.	The Au	dit Department Staff traced the information as filed in the Company's		
5		require	ed monthly filing, to the Company's books and records. The current		
6		examiı	examination covered the period March 2001 through April 2002. However,		
7		since this current hearing was scheduled for April 2002, Staff's audit work			
8		did not include any testing for the months of March and April 2002. The			
9		purpose of the audit was to determine if South Carolina Electric & Gas			
10		Company had computed and applied the monthly Fuel Adjustment Clause in			
11		accordance with the approved clause. To accomplish this, Staff examined			
12		the components surrounding the operation of the clause.			
13	Q.	WHAT WERE THE STEPS THAT THE STAFF EMPLOYED WITHIN THE			
14		SCOPE	OF THE AUDIT?		
15	A.	The exa	amination consisted of the following:		
16		1.	Analysis of Account # 151 – Fuel Stock		
17		2.	Sample of Receipts to the Fuel Stock Account – Account # 151		
18		3.	Verification of Charges to Nuclear Fuel Expense, Account # 518		
19		4.	Verification of Purchased Power & Interchange		
20		5.	Verification of KWH Sales		
21		6.	Analysis of Spot Coal Purchasing Procedures		
22		7.	Recomputation of Fuel Adjustment Factor and Verification of		
23			Deferred Fuel Costs		
24		8.	Recomputation of True-up for the (Over)Under-Recovered Fuel		
25			Costs		
26		9.	Details of Fuel Costs		
27					

1	Q.	WITH REGARD TO THE TRUE-UP OF (OVER)UNDER-RECOVERED
2		FUEL COSTS, WOULD YOU PLEASE ELABORATE ON STAFF'S
3		COMPUTATION?

4	A.	Staff analyzed the cumulative under-recovery of fuel costs that the Company		
5		had incurred for the period March 2001 through February 2002 which totaled		
6		\$40,472,698. Staff added the projected over-recovery of \$2,996,000 for the		
7		month of March 2002 and the projected over-recovery of \$1,786,800 for April		
8		2002 to arrive at a cumulative under-recovery of \$35,689,898. The		
9		Company's cumulative under-recovery as of April 2002, per its testimony in		
10		Docket No. 2002-2-E, totals \$36,504,651. The difference between the		
11		Company's and the Staff's cumulative under-recovery balances as of actual		
12		February 2002 and as of estimated April 2002 totals \$814,753. This		
13		difference is based on various corrections Staff reflected in various Company		
14		fuel costs, such as Fossil Fuel Burned Costs, Nuclear Fuel Costs, Purchase		
15		and Interchange Power Fuel Costs, and Intersystem Sales for several		
16		months of the review period (per Staff's report). Staff's Exhibit G,		
17		Computation of Unbilled Revenue, which consists of two pages, provides		
18		detailed explanations for this cumulative under-recovery difference of		
19		\$814,753. It should be noted that the Company will true-up the cumulative		
20		difference of \$814,753, on a per books basis, by the next fuel review period.		
21		As stated in South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's Adjustment for Fuel		
22		Costs, fuel costs will be included in base rates to the extent determined		
23		reasonable and proper by the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission		
24		should consider the under-recovery of \$35,689,898 along with the		
25		anticipated fuel costs for the period May 1, 2002 to April 30, 2003, for the		
26		purpose of determining the base cost of fuel in base rates effective May 1,		
27		2002. This \$35,689,898 under-recovery figure was provided to the		
28		Commission's Utilities Department. The previously described numbers are		
29		all based on the Commission allowing full recovery of fuel costs based on the		

1		testimony of Staff Witness Watts. However, in view of the Commission's				
2		decision in the most recent CP&L fuel case under Docket No. 2002-1-E,				
3		where CP&L's fuel costs component of purchased power was determined by				
4		application of a 60% proxy factor in instances where the fuel cost was not				
5		specifically identified, and in the event that the Commission makes a similar				
6		determination in this case, Staff has made a calculation whereby \$8,600,495				
7		(on a S.C. Retail Basis) could be deferred and recorded as a Deferred Debit				
8		and recovered in the Company's next general rate case.				
9	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REMAINING				
10		STAFF EXHIBITS?				
11	A.	Staff prepared exhibits from South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's books				
12		and records reflecting fuel costs during the review period.				
13		Specifically, these exhibits are as follows:				
14		Exhibit A:	Total Received & Weighted Average Cost			
15		Exhibit B:	Received Coal-Cost Per Ton (Per Plant)			
16		Exhibit C:	Received Coal-Cost Per Ton Comparison			
17		Exhibit D:	Burned Cost-Consumed Generation			
18		Exhibit E:	Cost of Fuel			
19		Exhibit F:	Factor Computation			
20		Exhibit G:	Computation of Unbilled Revenue			
21	Q.	MRS. CHER	RY, WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE AUDIT			
22		DEPARTMENT'S REVIEW?				
23	A.	Based on the	Audit Staff's examination of South Carolina Electric & Gas			
24		Company's b	ooks and records, and the utilization of the fuel cost recovery			
25		mechanism as directed by the Commission, the Audit Departme				
26		opinion that the Company has complied with the directives (per the Fuel				
27		Adjustment Clause) of the Commission.				
28	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?				
29	A.	Yes, it does.				